Objection/Comment

- 1) During operation, would residents or their visitors be able to block their own driveway dropped kerbs? And if so, would this still require a parking permit or a temporary pass? Or are the lowest sections of the dropped kerb exempt from the parking restrictions (as appears to be the case in other Councils in the UK)?
- 2)As Chantry Road would be added to an existing parking scheme, does that mean residents of Letchford Terrace and other roads in the scheme be able to park on Chantry Road during the operating hours?
- 3)The Scheme would also cover Chantry Place, which has regular parking and drop offs during the day. Would the enforcement officers be present at multiple times of the day? Or just when the restrictions start/end? What is the frequency of the presence of a traffic warden?
- 4) Your letters states that once the review is complete , it is unlikely that another review will occur in this aera for several years . My question is we already had a review 3 years ago and the resident had objected then why another review . Why is Harrow Council wasting money on review when it was done 3 years ago .
- 5) Residents of Chantry road has already objected to the CPZ parking and majority of the resident are still objecting nothing had changed then why do another review . Residents from West Chantry who has problems with parking are objecting and has requested for CPZ parking but the question is do they have the right to appeal or object when they are not living on the street . Resident of West Chantry all have front garden and its private road they can convert there front garden and have parking in front of there property but they do not want to do that and wnat to change Chantry road into controll parking . Some of the residents in West Chantry are temporaty tenant who are only there for a short term and they are objecting . 6) Can we have a face to face consultation with the Council

Please note that the gravel road in front of the following houses on Chantry Road: 11,12, East Cottage, Middle Cottage and West House is an unadopted road and therefore the parking controls cannot apply to this area. The Consultation plan seems to suggest that this section is adopted road but that is incorrect and should be updated. In regard to the fees for residents, I think a fairer policy would be to offer the first car for free and then charge any subsequent cars. Other nearby councils, such as Hillingdon Council, adopt this policy. The charges for visitor passes also appears to be quite high, Hillingdon Council offer 10 free visitor passes per year then £10 per 10 vouchers which seems to be a much more reasonable fee.

officer in the evening after 6.00pm when everyone is home.

Officer response

- 1. No, restrictions are introduced across driveways that operate during the operational hours of the CPZ. A CPZ is an area where all parking on the highway is controlled for most, or part of the day. All kerbside space is marked as a parking bay (where parking is considered to be safe and appropriate) or a yellow line (where parking is considered to be dangerous or obstructive). This means that yellow lines, operating during the hours when parking controls apply, will be placed in locations such as in front of driveways, at junctions, on bends and where roads narrow and parked vehicles cannot be safely accommodated.
- 2. Yes, as it is proposed that Chantry Road is included in an existing CPZ other residents from the same zone
- 3. Enforcement will reflect the operational y=times of the zone.
- 4. Although "unlikely" the repeated petition from residents meant that the scheme achieved a high score in the assessment process. All petitions received are assessed each year.
- 5. Refer to previous answer 4 above.

Noted.

The government requires all Councils to ensure that their Controlled Parking Zones are self-financing. Revenues are raised through combination of revenues from enforcement and money from the sale of the various types of permits. The price of permits is beyond the scope of this consultation. Council determine the costs annually.

Objection/Comment	Officer response	
Over the years (now 13 years at west chantry) it has become	Parking is not allocated to individual vehicles, all	
harder to park. This was/may have been caused when the	bays within a cpz are available to any legitimate	
surrounding area had controlled parking creating an	permit holder.	
overflow for Chantry Road.		
Please do assign parking for those living in west chantry		
houses 4, 6,8,3,5,7. Houses 1 and 2 have their own parking.		
It's become increasingly difficult to get parking space and as	Comments in support noted.	
a resident this is wholly unfair. I've seen non-residents park their cars and go to the station and this can be up to six to		
eight house making it impossible to find parking space for		
those who live in Chantry Road. This even more difficult		
when family and friends visit.		
,		
Extending the existing zone to Chantry Road would be a		
good service to our community and ease the residents of		
Chantry Road frustrations and disappointment.		
Street parking is always available to visitors to my property.	The proposals have been developed in response to a	
They do not struggle to find spaces. I would not be in favour	petition from residents for the introduction of	
of a permit-based system, there is no need for this in my opinion	parking controls. The problems have been identified by these residents and the consultation	
Ориноп	initiated accordingly.	
I am not in support of introducing the CPZ parking proposal	The proposals have been developed in response to a	
because of all the reasons outlined in the letter composed	petition from residents for the introduction of	
on our behalf, the chantry road and chantry place residents.	parking controls. The problems have been	
Thank you	identified by these residents and the consultation	
	initiated accordingly.	
As someone who drives i do not see any benefit of	The proposals have been developed in response to a	
introducing paid parking bays to our road. Especially if we	petition from residents for the introduction of	
get visitors, currently they park on our dropped kerb and our	parking controls. The problems have been	
drive. However with CPZ parkings our visitors won't have	identified by these residents and the consultation	
space to park. Plus it would cost us residents to precure visitor passes. With the current financial crisis the country is	initiated accordingly. The purpose of the public	
going through. Redundancies due to covid. Cut in financial	consultation is to gain an understanding of residents' preference for a course of action. As	
aid from government this would burden me and my fellow	with any consultation there will be respondents	
low income residence down the road. So I am not in favour	who have conflicting opinions. When offered a	
of this proposal. You will also be recieving a letter of chantry	choice of options the option that receives a	
road and place residents outlining further reasons for our	majority of support will be recommended to be	
objections. Thank you	taken forward. Officers assess the responses and	
	report these to the ward councillors and portfolio-	
	holder who makes a decision as to the way	
	forward. The consultation is not a compulsory	
	public vote officers can only assess based on the	
	answers of residents who responded to the consultation but they (nor the portfolio holder) can	
	infer any intention from those who elected not to	
	respond other than that they are indifferent as to	
	the result.	
I wasn't keen on this CPZ idea last time we has a review from The proposals have been developed in response to a		
the Council (just a few years ago) as it would negatively	petition from residents for the introduction of	
impact us with regards to visitors to my house - which I have	parking controls. The problems have been	
often, mostly childcare and tradesmen. This proposal seems	identified by these residents and the consultation	

Objection/Comment Officer response to not include West Chantry in the zone (since it is an initiated accordingly. The purpose of the public unadopted private road). This would mean that, as they consultation is to gain an understanding of aren't within the zone, they won't be able to apply for residents' preference for a course of action. As permanent permits and temporary permit books (same with any consultation there will be respondents situation as nearby Mullion Close, Winston Court and who have conflicting opinions. When offered a currently with Chantry Road and Chantry place and the choice of options the option that receives a existing CPZ covering Letchford Terrace and Headstone majority of support will be recommended to be Lane). Therefore, this proposal would make parking far taken forward. Officers assess the responses and worse for residents of West Chantry, whom I imagine have report these to the ward councillors and portfoliothe most issues with parking, since they do not currently holder who makes a decision as to the way have a parking area on their road (only 2 houses have a forward. The consultation is not a compulsory public vote officers can only assess based on the small parking area currently). The proposed CPZ would probably push out or force to close the garage (Belmont answers of residents who responded to the Service Centre) which would be a big loss. The garage staff consultation but they (nor the portfolio holder) can are most approachable if there are no free spaces on infer any intention from those who elected not to Chantry Road and will usually move a customer vehicle respond other than that they are indifferent as to promptly if not immediately if they can. In my opinion, the the result. issues with parking on Chantry Road and Chantry Place mostly consists of parking over of dropped kerbs, parking in a way that impedes access to the unadopted part of Chantry Road and parking on the double yellow lines at the entrance of Chantry Road and Chantry Place (at the junction of Headstone Lane). None of these issues would be resolved appropriately (if at all) with the proposed CPZ. Therefore I am at a loss as to whom this proposed CPZ would benefit (other than Council revenue) as no one in the locality seems to win or improve their situation? So with the above all in mind and with discussions with local residents taken into account, I must object strongly against this proposed Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) extension into Chantry Place and Chantry Road. PLEASE NOTE: The area on the map sent to us is incorrect. Comments regarding status of road noted, this will The final section, the gravel drive, of Chantry RD is be rectified in any future communication. unadopted and cannot be included in a CPZ unless the The new Parking Management Strategy seeks to Council takes over its maintenance. standardise the operational hours of new parking schemes. In the strategy there are three standard I am in favour of a CPZ but not the hours above. This would solutions to solve the main types of parking not stop the parents at the local high school who are a big problems encountered. • Commuter / nuisance. I would favour 8.00-9.30 and 2.30-4.00 which workplace parking - zones that operate for a would also discourage commuters if we ever get them again. minimum of 4 hours in the middle of the working day (e.g. Mon - Fri, 10am - 2pm) Commercial centres / local amenities – zones that operate during the working day, typically 10 -11 hours per day, and facilitates business activity (e.g. Mon – Sat, 8am – 6:30pm) Pressures that extend beyond the working day in the evenings or weekends, typically 12+ hours per day (e.g. Mon - Sun, 8am - Midnight I have a concern that, even with the 10-3pm parking The petition specifically requested a zone restriction, we could still experience problems if the garage operational with the same hours as Letchford

Terrace i.e. 10am - 3pm,

in Chantry Place chooses to park vehicles after 3pm and/or

overnight and weekends - which they currently do.

Objection/Comment	Officer response
It is a nightmare to park around here. Ruining my retirement as cannot go outside London for anything during the week as not unless plan to come back after five which is in rush hour traffic, adding to congestion. We are only road not restricted. Cannot invite elderly friends to visit as nowhere for them to park. Workmen have a problem too if you have	The proposals have been developed in response to a petition from residents for the introduction of parking controls. The problems have been identified by these residents and the consultation initiated accordingly. The purpose of the public consultation is to gain an understanding of residents' preference for a course of action. As with any consultation there will be respondents who have conflicting opinions. When offered a choice of options the option that receives a majority of support will be recommended to be taken forward. Officers assess the responses and report these to the ward councillors and portfolioholder who makes a decision as to the way forward. The consultation is not a compulsory public vote officers can only assess based on the answers of residents who responded to the consultation but they (nor the portfolio holder) can infer any intention from those who elected not to respond other than that they are indifferent as to the result. The introduction of a cpz would act to remove non resident parking freeing up on street space for residents.
one coming. Miserable! Often there is no parking space in this area. On top of this vehicles are often parked leaving insufficient space for other vehicles to park near to them. If it is changed to be part of CPZ Z, please consider designating 3 parking spaces between driveways.	The introduction of a cpz would act to remove non resident parking freeing up on street space for residents. Parking bays are only marked where it is safe and appropriate to park. This can sometimes mean that the existing pattern of parking is not replicated. As a result, it is sometimes possible, especially in small side roads, that the number of bays marked might represent a fall in parking capacity. However, this fall must be balanced against the reduction in the number of parked vehicles belonging to non-residents.
I am under the impression that during operational hours one would not be able to park in the zone described in the map without a resident/visitors permit between 10am -3pm Monday to Friday. Could I clarify: does this mean that with a permit we would be able to park on Chantry Road and Chantry place during these hours.	Anyone parking within a cpz during the operational hours must display a valid resident or resident visitor permit. Permits are valid only in the zone for which they are issued.
Does this including parking on Letchford Terrace/existing CPZ zone if one is in possession of a permit? The extension includes Chantry Place that currently has a longer restriction, In absolutely no circumstances should this be reduced to 10-3pm. The road gives access to the	Access to the industrial units will not be compromised by the introduction of the CPZ.

with any consultation there will be respondents who have conflicting opinions. When offered a choice of options the option that receives a

Objection/Comment	Officer response
industrial units for articulated lorrys and there are already problems when partents of the school park here for occasional evening events. On two occasions a lorry has had to wait on the road for hours till the event ends causing traffic jams and access problems for residents. The map you sent Failed to include 16 Chantry Road in the scheme, This is the house south of the entry to West Chantry and any proposed scheme should include it. Extension to the scheme will cause the closure of our	The garage would need to look for alternative parking arrangements if the cpz goes ahead. The public highway should not be used as overspill parking for businesses who have insufficient space on their own premises. Any cpz would only be enforceable on roads that are public highway, West Chantry as a private road would be excluded from the cpz.
cherished local garage who are always very helpfull and considerate when parking.	
I see that West Chantry residents are excluded from the CPZ and hence from applying for parking permits. Their exclusion would improve parking provision substantially since it is their lack of parking provision and subsequent parking in our street that is the main cause of occasional problems for them at certain times of the day. I'm still against the extension of the CPZ with all the other issues it would bring. e.g. Tradesmen and delivery vehicles servicing residents in our street.	
I strongly object to the Chantry Road / Chantry Place CPZ Parking proposals put forward by Harrow Council. The details of our objections are detailed in the residence letter that has been prepared and that will be submitted shortly.	The proposals have been developed in response to a petition from residents for the introduction of parking controls. The problems have been identified by these residents and the consultation initiated accordingly. The purpose of the public consultation is to gain an understanding of residents' preference for a course of action. As with any consultation there will be respondents who have conflicting opinions. When offered a choice of options the option that receives a majority of support will be recommended to be taken forward. Officers assess the responses and report these to the ward councillors and portfolioholder who makes a decision as to the way forward. The consultation is not a compulsory public vote officers can only assess based on the answers of residents who responded to the consultation but they (nor the portfolio holder) can infer any intention from those who elected not to respond other than that they are indifferent as to the result.
I object to the CPZ parking proposal I've considered the implications on not only chantry road residents but also west chantry and chantry place residents, including the businesses that would have a huge impact if a CPZ scheme were to be introduced following the review	The proposals have been developed in response to a petition from residents for the introduction of parking controls. The problems have been identified by these residents and the consultation initiated accordingly. The purpose of the public consultation is to gain an understanding of residents' preference for a course of action. As

Appendix B

Objection/Comment	Officer response
	majority of support will be recommended to be taken forward. Officers assess the responses and report these to the ward councillors and portfolioholder who makes a decision as to the way forward. The consultation is not a compulsory public vote officers can only assess based on the answers of residents who responded to the consultation but they (nor the portfolioholder) can infer any intention from those who elected not to respond other than that they are indifferent as to the result.